

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/114,352	07/13/1998	TOMOKO TERAKADO	SONY-P8770	9117	
22850 7	590 07/07/2004		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			KOENIG, ANDREW Y		
	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2611 DATE MAILED: 07/07/2004	30	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
,		09/114,352	TERAKADO ET AL.	
Office Action Sun	nmary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Andrew Y Koenig	2611	
The MAILING DATE of th Period for Reply	is communication app	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available unde after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing da - If the period for reply specified above is le	COMMUNICATION.  r the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1  ate of this communication.  ss than thirty (30) days, a reply  ne maximum statutory period v  period for reply will, by statute  three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of th vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC , cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status				
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on 13 A	nril 2004		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		action is non-final.		
, <del></del>	,		tters, prosecution as to the meri	ts is
closed in accordance with		•	• •	
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1,4 and 6-22 is/a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) Claim(s) is/are allo 6) Claim(s) 1,4 and 6-22 is/a 7) Claim(s) is/are obj 8) Claim(s) are subje  Application Papers  9) The specification is object 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request the Replacement drawing sheet	is/are withdrawn wed.  are rejected. ected to. ct to restriction and/or ed to by the Examine is/are: a) according any objection to the	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to  drawing(s) be held in abeya	-	21(d).
11) The oath or declaration is		•	• • •	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	None of: he priority documents he priority documents ed copies of the prior International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in a ity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	<b>;</b>
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (I	ng Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/114,352

Art Unit: 2611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4, and 6-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 6-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- 4. Claim 1 recites "wherein said alteration means alters at the first broadcasting station data constituting..." From the specification, it appears that the means for alterations is located locally to the receiving apparatus. As best understood by the examiner claim 1 will be interpreted as "wherein said alteration means alters data constituting said EPG to be displayed in accordance with said predetermined information received from the first broadcasting station, ..."
- 5. Similar limitations are used in each independent claim and will be treated like claim 1.

Art Unit: 2611

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 4, 6-10, and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,579,055 to Hamilton et al. and U.S. Patent 5,940,073 to Klosterman et al. (hereinafter Klosterman '073) in view of U.S. Patent 5,550,576 to Klosterman and U.S. Patent 6,147,714 to Terasawa et al.

Regarding claims 1, 8, 9, 10, and 15-18, Hamilton teaches transmitting EPG data in the vertical blanking interval (VBI) of the transmitted signal, which is received by the set top tuner (col. 11, II. 13-20). Hamilton teaches receiving the audio and video (fig. 7, lab. 700), and displaying the image signal to the display (col. 15, II. 54-56). Hamilton teaches extracting the EPG data with the television tuner (col. 2, II. 42-54). Hamilton teaches updating the EPG data every 30 minutes or for a program change (col. 5, II. 55-60); updating the EPG reads on altering the display format. Hamilton teaches receiving and accepting a template from the EPG supplier (col. 5, II. 49-52). Clearly, Hamilton teaches outputting the altered EPG (received every 30 minutes or program change) to the display in order to display the updated information to the user.

Hamilton teaches implementing the system in other environments such as satellite systems, over-the-air broadcasts, subscription television services, etc. But,

Art Unit: 2611

Hamilton is silent on a broadcaster adding EPG data and generating an image signal. Terasawa teaches a system where the broadcaster adds EPG data and simultaneously encodes image signals (see fig. 1), which reads on generating image signals. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hamilton by using a broadcaster that adds EPG data while simultaneously generating image signals as taught by Terasawa in order to simultaneously send information along with the programming and thereby efficiently using the available bandwidth.

Hamilton is silent on the each broadcasting station having altering in accordance with predetermined information representing a first broadcasting station to show a preference to a provider tag. Further, Hamilton is silent on displaying the preference to the provider within a row of the display that includes at least the provider tag and program name. Klosterman '073 teaches promoting a program on a channel (in this case NBC). While promoting the program, Klosterman shows a preference to the provider (NBC) by enlarging the display of the provider name (fig. 4(a), col. 8, Il. 10-18). Further, Klosterman '073 shows this preference within a row of the display including at least the provider tag (NBC) and program name.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hamilton by using provider tags and showing a preference to a provider tag of a first broadcasting station as taught by Klosterman '073 in order to promote the cable system.

Art Unit: 2611

Hamilton teaches updating the display at 30-minute intervals or for program changes (col. 5, II. 55-60), but is silent on changing the order of data constituting the EPG in accordance to the template. Klosterman teaches various combinations of ordering programs within an EPG; furthermore, channels in an order associated with their particular source (col. 6, II. 34-39). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hamilton by altering the order of data in the EPG as taught by Klosterman in order to encourage viewers to select programs from various networks.

Claims 9 and 10 add the limitation of a computer program used in the receiving apparatus. Clearly, Hamilton inherently must use a computer program in order to receive, store, and display the EPG data.

Regarding claim 4, Hamilton teaches storing the template into memory (col. 5, II. 49-52), which reads on recording information representing a predetermined broadcasting station.

Regarding claim 6, Hamilton is silent on altering the data so that part of the data is emphasized according to predetermined information. Davis teaches displaying a promotional video and text, which reads on data emphasized in accordance with predetermined information (fig. 7a). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hamilton by displaying emphasized information as taught by Davis in order to encourage program viewership.

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 7, Hamilton teaches sending the current time and date from the ISP system clock, which reads on additional information added according to predetermined information.

8. Claims 11-14 and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,559,548 to Davis et al. in view of U.S. Patent 6,147,714 to Terasawa et al. and U.S. Patent 5,940,073 to Klosterman et al. (Klosterman '073).

Regarding claims 11-14 and 19-22, Davis teaches a transmitter and a receiver (as shown in figure 1). Davis teaches editing promotional data stored in the promotional database (col. 6, II. 3-10), which reads on generating an image signal. Davis teaches a data processor (fig. 1, lab. 110) that generates the EPG (col. 6, II. 46-53). Furthermore, Davis teaches displaying the product logo (see figure 7a) of TV Guide (as shown in 7b and 7c), which reads on information representing the broadcast station. Davis teaches assembling all the information (i.e. generated EPG, broadcaster information, and promotional information) by the data processor and transmitting the combined signal (col. 6, II. 46-58).

Davis is silent on a broadcaster adding EPG data and generating an image signal. Terasawa teaches a system where the broadcaster adds EPG data and simultaneously encodes image signals (see fig. 1), which reads on generating image signals. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Davis by using a broadcaster that adds EPG

Art Unit: 2611

data while simultaneously generating image signals as taught by Terasawa in order to simultaneously send information along with the programming and thereby efficiently using the available bandwidth.

Davis teaches displaying a preference to the first broadcasting station to the product provider, cable system, or multi-system operator (MSO) logo, or both, see "TV Guide" as shown in figure 5a, col. 8, II. 59-64. Accordingly, Davis teaches that each cable system can show preference to their network with the presence of their logo. Clearly, one recognizes that the system Davis has a plurality of broadcasting stations and enables each of those stations to provide the user with logo identifying their respective cable system, which reads on a first broadcaster (one of a plurality of cable headends (10)) each having a provider tag and representing the first broadcasting station in a display format showing preference to the provider tag of the first broadcasting station over the provider tags of the other stations.

Davis is silent on altering in accordance with predetermined information representing a first broadcasting station to show a preference to a provider tag.

Further, Davis is silent on displaying the preference to the provider within a row of the display that includes at least the provider tag and program name. Klosterman '073 teaches promoting a program on a channel (in this case NBC). While promoting the program, Klosterman shows a preference to the provider (NBC) by enlarging the display of the provider name (fig. 4(a), col. 8, II. 10-18). Further, Klosterman '073 shows this preference within a row of the display including at least the provider tag (NBC) and program name.

Art Unit: 2611

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Davis by using provider tags and showing a preference to a provider tag of a first broadcasting station as taught by Klosterman '073 in order to promote the cable system.

Further regarding claim 13, claim 13 adds the limitation of transmitting a computer program. Davis teaches transmitting the EPG data (col. 6, II. 54-58), which clearly reads on a computer program.

Further regarding claim 14, claim 14 adds the limitation of holding a computer program and using the computer program. Davis teaches a data processor (fig. 1, lab. 110), which inherently uses computer program in order to send and compile the EPG data.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Y Koenig whose telephone number is (703) 306-0399. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7:30 - 6:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Faile can be reached on (703) 305-4380. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2611

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ayk

VIVEK SRIVASTAVA PRIMARY EXAMINER